



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

am

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,452	10/25/2001	Noritada Kuwayama	9694-000002	4241

27572 7590 06/16/2005

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. BOX 828
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER

SHUTE, DOUGLAS M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2121

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/869,452

Applicant(s)

KUWAYAMA, NORITADA

Examiner

Douglas M. Shute

Art Unit

2121

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saliba (5,883,864) (hereinafter Saliba).

4. As per claim 1, Saliba shows the invention substantially as claimed having a library system (e.g., Figure 5) comprising a host computer (e.g., Figure 5, element 12), a library unit (e.g., Figure 5, element 100D) and a communication apparatus which connects said host computer and said library unit with

Art Unit: 2121

each other for communications (e.g., Figure 5, "SCSI" interconnections), characterized in that:

said library unit comprises: a plurality of recording medium storage sections (e.g., Figure 5, elements 112); a plurality of recording medium reproduction sections which receive recording media which are stored in said recording medium storage sections and reproduce the recording media (e.g., Figure 5, elements 148-10 through 148-15); a recording medium transportation section for transporting the recording media each between said recording medium storage sections and said recording medium reproduction sections (e.g., Figure 5 elements 114A and 114B); and a controller for controlling said recording medium reproduction sections and said recording medium transportation section (e.g., Figure 5, element 146D), said plurality of recording medium storage sections are divided into a plurality of storage stations (e.g., Figure 5, a) elements 112 in top half of element 100D and b) elements 112 in bottom half of element 100D), said plurality of recording medium reproduction sections are divided into a plurality of reproduction stations (e.g., Figure 5, a) elements 148-10 through 148-12 in top half of element 100D and b) elements 148-13 through 148-15 in bottom half of element 100D), each storage station and the corresponding reproduction station constitute a

Art Unit: 2121

virtual unit (e.g. Figure 5, a) upper half of element 100D and b) bottom half of element 100D). Saliba does not specifically show that the library unit includes one cabinet containing the various components but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the components could have been incorporated into a single cabinet as the use of a cabinet to provide protection of various types to components therein is a well-known structure in the electronic field. Further, Saliba does not specifically show said host computer includes applications which correspond to said virtual units to control said virtual units individually but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the host computer could include various programs to control the virtual units individually as Saliba shows that the host provides commands to the storage unit (e.g., col. 3, lines 59-62) and thus could control the individual virtual units thereby as a particular circumstance warranted by way of applications (programs) contained within the host.

5. As per claim 2, it is rejected for reasons similar to those given above for claim 1 above. Saliba does not specifically show the utilization of a second library unit interconnected with the

Art Unit: 2121

host and a first library unit. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a second library unit (similar to a first library unit per Figure 5, element 100D) could be added to the overall structure of Figure 5 in order to provide expanded and enhanced capabilities thereto. Each library unit includes (e.g., per Figure 5): a recording medium storage section (e.g., Figure 5, elements 112); a recording medium reproduction section which receives a recording medium which is stored in said recording medium storage section and reproduces the recording medium (e.g., Figure 5, elements 148-10 through 148-15); a recording medium transportation section for transporting the recording medium between said recording medium storage section and said recording medium reproduction section (e.g., Figure 5 elements 114A and 114B); and a controller for controlling said recording medium reproduction section and said recording medium transportation section (e.g., Figure 5, element 146D).

The multiple library unit structure does not specifically show that each library unit comprises one cabinet but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the respective components could have been incorporated into cabinets as the use of a cabinet to

Art Unit: 2121

provide protection of various types to components therein is a well-known structure in the electronic field. Further, each library unit (e.g., Figure 5, element 100D) has at least a portion of said recording medium storage section and at least a corresponding portion of said recording medium reproduction section which constitute a virtual unit portion (e.g., Figure 5, a) element 100D (top half) or b) element 100D (bottom half)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a virtual unit portion in said first library unit and a virtual unit portion in said second library unit could constitute one virtual unit in order to provide enhanced and expanded capabilities to the structure of Figure 5. Further, Saliba does not specifically show said host computer could include applications which correspond to said virtual units to control said virtual units individually but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the host computer could include various programs to control the virtual units individually as Saliba shows that the host provides commands to the storage unit (e.g., col. 3, lines 59-62) and thus could control the individual virtual units thereby as a particular circumstance warranted by way of applications contained within the host.

Art Unit: 2121

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas M. Shute whose telephone number is (571) 272-3690. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



June 9, 2005



Anthony Knight
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600